	Case 2:21-cv-01880-DAD-JDP Documer	nt 11	Filed 04/25/23	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	DONAVON NORDSTROM,	Cas	se No. 2:21-cv-0	1880-DAD-JDP (HC)
12	Petitioner,			
13	v.	FIN	NDINGS AND RI	ECOMMENDATIONS
14	PAUL THOMPSON, et al.,			
15	Respondents.			
16		_		
17	A recent court order was served on petitioner's address of record and returned by the			
18	postal service. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local Rule 182(f), which			
19	requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More			
20	than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and			
21	petitioner has failed to notify the Court of a current address.			
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for without			
23	prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).			
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge			
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days			
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written			
27	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned			
28	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the			
		1		

Case 2:21-cv-01880-DAD-JDP Document 11 Filed 04/25/23 Page 2 of 2 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: <u>April 24, 2023</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE